



Institute for
Interlaboratory Studies

**Results of Proficiency Test
Biodiesel B100 (100% FAME)
October 2024**

Organized by: Institute for Interlaboratory Studies
Spijkenisse, the Netherlands

Author: Mrs. R.T. van Vliet

Correctors: Mr. R.J. Starink, BSc & Mrs. A. Ouwerkerk, BSc

Approved by: Mr. R.J. Starink, BSc

Report: iis24G07

December 2024

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	3
2	SET UP	3
2.1	ACCREDITATION	3
2.2	PROTOCOL.....	4
2.3	CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT	4
2.4	SAMPLES	4
2.5	STABILITY OF THE SAMPLES.....	6
2.6	ANALYZES	6
3	RESULTS	7
3.1	STATISTICS	7
3.2	GRAPHICS	8
3.3	Z-SCORES	9
4	EVALUATION	9
4.1	EVALUATION PER SAMPLE AND PER TEST	10
4.2	PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FOR THE GROUP OF LABORATORIES.....	13
4.3	COMPARISON OF THE PROFICIENCY TEST OF OCTOBER 2024 WITH PREVIOUS PTS	15

Appendices:

1.	Data, statistical and graphical results	17
2.	Number of participants per country	77
3.	Abbreviations and literature.....	78

1 INTRODUCTION

Since 2008 the Institute for Interlaboratory Studies (iis) organizes a proficiency scheme for the analysis of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters (FAME) used as Biodiesel B100 twice a year. During the annual proficiency testing program of 2024 it was decided to continue the round robin for the analysis of Biodiesel B100 based on the latest version of ASTM D6751 and EN14214.

In this interlaboratory study registered for participation:

- 57 laboratories in 28 countries for regular analyzes in Biodiesel B100 iis24G07.
- 17 laboratories in 10 countries on the Cetane Number analyzes iis24G07CN.
- 31 laboratories in 20 countries on the Metal analyzes iis24G07M.
- 37 laboratories in 23 countries on the Total Contamination analyzes iis24G07TC.

In total 60 laboratories in 29 countries registered for participation in one or more proficiency tests, see appendix 2 for the number of participants per country. In this report the results of this Biodiesel B100 proficiency tests are presented and discussed.

2 SET UP

The Institute for Interlaboratory Studies (iis) in Spijkenisse, the Netherlands, was the organizer of this proficiency test (PT). Sample analyzes for fit-for-use and homogeneity testing were subcontracted to a laboratory that has performed the tests in accordance with for ISO/IEC17043 relevant requirements of ISO/IEC17025.

In this proficiency test the participants received, depending on the registration, from one up to four different samples of Biodiesel B100, see table below.

Sample ID	PT ID	Quantity	Purpose
#24200	iis24G07	1x 1 L + 1x 0.5 L	Regular analyzes
#24201	iis24G07CN	2x 1 L	Cetane Number & DCN
#24202	iis24G07M	1x 100 mL	Metal analyzes
#24203	iis24G07TC	1x 1 L	Total Contamination

Table 1: Biodiesel B100 samples used in PT iis24G07.

Participants were requested to report rounded and unrounded test results. The unrounded test results were preferably used for statistical evaluation.

2.1 ACCREDITATION

The Institute for Interlaboratory Studies in Spijkenisse, the Netherlands, is accredited in agreement with ISO/IEC17043:2010 (R007), since January 2000, by the Dutch Accreditation Council (Raad voor Accreditatie). This PT falls under the accredited scope. This ensures strict adherence to protocols for sample preparation and statistical evaluation and 100% confidentiality of participant's data. Feedback from the participants on the reported data is encouraged and customer's satisfaction is measured on regular basis by sending out questionnaires.

2.2 PROTOCOL

The protocol followed in the organization of this proficiency test was the one as described for proficiency testing in the report 'iis Interlaboratory Studies: Protocol for the Organization, Statistics and Evaluation' of October 2024 (iis-protocol, version 4.0). This protocol is electronically available through the iis website www.iisnl.com, from the FAQ page.

2.3 CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT

All data presented in this report must be regarded as confidential and for use by the participating companies only. Disclosure of the information in this report is only allowed by means of the entire report. Use of the contents of this report for third parties is only allowed by written permission of the Institute for Interlaboratory Studies. Disclosure of the identity of one or more of the participating companies will be done only after receipt of a written agreement of the companies involved.

2.4 SAMPLES

For the preparation of the samples for the regular analyzes and the samples for Cetane Number in Biodiesel B100 a batch of approximately 160 liters of Rapeseed Methyl Ester (RME) was obtained from a third party. After homogenization 70 amber glass bottles of 1 L and 70 amber glass bottles of 0.5 L were filled and labelled #24200 for the regular analyzes. For the Cetane Number another 44 amber glass bottles of 1 L were filled and labelled #24201.

The homogeneity of the subsamples was checked by determination of Density at 15 °C in accordance with ISO12185 on 8 stratified randomly selected subsamples.

	Density at 15 °C in kg/m ³
sample - 1	883.97
sample - 2	884.02
sample - 3	883.96
sample - 4	883.97
sample - 5	883.96
sample - 6	883.97
sample - 7	884.02
sample - 8	883.96

Table 2: homogeneity test results of subsamples #24200 and #24201

From the above test results the repeatability was calculated and compared with 0.3 times the reproducibility of the reference test method in agreement with the procedure of ISO13528, Annex B2 in the next table.

	Density at 15 °C in kg/m ³
r (observed)	0.07
reference test method	ISO12185:24
0.3 x R (reference test method)	0.15

Table 3: evaluation of the repeatability of subsamples #24200 and #24201

The calculated repeatability is in agreement with 0.3 times the reproducibility of the reference test method. Therefore, homogeneity of the subsamples was assumed.

For the preparation of the sample for the analyzes of Metals in Biodiesel B100 a batch of approximately 6 L of Rapeseed Methyl Ester (RME) was selected and spiked with some metals. After homogenization 50 PE bottles of 100 mL were filled and labelled #24202. The homogeneity of the subsamples was checked by determination of Sodium in accordance with EN14538 on 8 stratified randomly selected subsamples.

	Sodium in mg/kg
sample #24202-1	10.2
sample #24202-2	10.1
sample #24202-3	9.5
sample #24202-4	9.6
sample #24202-5	9.6
sample #24202-6	9.6
sample #24202-7	9.9
sample #24202-8	9.6

Table 4: homogeneity test results of subsamples #24202

From the above test results the repeatability was calculated and compared with 0.3 times the reproducibility of the reference test method in agreement with the procedure of ISO13528, Annex B2 in the next table.

	Sodium in mg/kg
r (observed)	0.7
reference test method	EN14108:03
0.3 x R (reference test method)	1.2

Table 5: evaluation of the repeatability of subsamples #24202

The calculated repeatability is in agreement with 0.3 times the reproducibility of the reference test method. Therefore, homogeneity of the subsamples was assumed.

For the preparation of the sample for Total Contamination determination in Biodiesel B100 a batch of approximately 75 liters of Rapeseed Methyl Ester (RME) was obtained from a third party. A defined volume of freshly prepared and well shaken dust suspension of Arizona Dust material in a lubricating oil was added to an empty bottle of 1 L by means of a calibrated pipette. The addition was checked by weighing the bottle before and after the addition. In

total 55 bottles were prepared and subsequently filled up with 1 L Biodiesel B100 and homogenized. The subsamples were labelled #24203. A random subsample and a blank B100 sample from the batch were taken to check the Total Contamination.

Depending on the registration of the participant the appropriate set of PT samples was sent on September 25, 2024. An SDS was added to the sample package.

2.5 STABILITY OF THE SAMPLES

The stability of Biodiesel B100 packed in the amber glass and PE bottles was checked. The material was found sufficiently stable for the period of the proficiency test.

2.6 ANALYZES

The requested analyzes for the Biodiesel B100 samples are in accordance with the requirements of EN14214:12+A2:19 and/or ASTM D6751:23a.

Parameter	EN14214:12+A2:19	Parameter	ASTM D6751:23a
Acid Value	EN14104	Total Acid Number	ASTM D664
Calorific Value	DIN51900		
		Carb. Res. 100% FAME	ASTM D4530
CFPP	EN116		
Cloud Point	EN23015	Cloud Point	ASTM D2500
Copper Strip Corrosion	ISO2160	Copper Strip Corrosion	ASTM D130
Density at 15°C	ISO12185		
		Distillation	ASTM D1160
Flash Point (Recc)	ISO3679		
Flash Point (PMcc)	ISO2719	Flash Point (PMcc)	ASTM D93
Iodine Value	EN14111		
Kin. Viscosity at 40 °C	ISO3104	Kin. Viscosity at 40 °C	ASTM D445
Oxidation Stability	EN14112	Oxidation Stability	EN15751
Sulfated Ash	ISO3987	Sulfated Ash	ASTM D874
Sulfur	ISO20846	Sulfur	ASTM D5453
Water	ISO12937		
		Water and Sediment	ASTM D2709
Cetane Number	EN5165	Cetane Number	ASTM D613
		Derived Cetane Number	ASTM D7668
Calcium + Magnesium	EN14538	Calcium + Magnesium	EN14538
Phosphorus	EN14107	Phosphorus	ASTM D4951
Potassium + Sodium	EN14109/EN14108	Potassium + Sodium	EN14538
Polyunsat. methyl ester	EN15779		
Methanol	EN14110	Methanol	EN14110
Mono-, Di-, Triglycerides	EN14105	Monoglyceride content	ASTM D6584

Parameter	EN14214:12+A2:19	Parameter	ASTM D6751:23a
Free + Total Glycerol	EN14105	Free + Total Glycerol	ASTM D6584
Total ester content (FAME)	EN14103		
Linolenic acid methyl ester	EN14103		
Total Contamination	EN12662		
		Cold Soak Filterability	ASTM D7501

Table 6: requirements and test methods acc. to specifications EN14214:12+A2:19 and/or ASTM D6751:23a.

It was explicitly requested to treat the samples as if they were routine samples and to report the test results using the indicated units on the report form and not to round the test results, but report as much significant figures as possible. It was also requested not to report 'less than' test results, which are above the detection limit, because such test results cannot be used for meaningful statistical evaluations.

To get comparable test results a detailed report form and a letter of instructions are prepared. On the report form the reporting units are given as well as the reference test methods (when applicable) that will be used during the evaluation. The detailed report form and the letter of instructions are both made available on the data entry portal www.kpmd.co.uk/sgs-iis/. The participating laboratories are also requested to confirm the sample receipt on this data entry portal. The letter of instructions can also be downloaded from the iis website www.iisnl.com.

3 RESULTS

During five weeks after sample dispatch, the test results of the individual laboratories were gathered via the data entry portal www.kpmd.co.uk/sgs-iis/. The reported test results are tabulated per determination in appendix 1 of this report. The laboratories are presented by their code numbers.

Directly after the deadline, a reminder was sent to those laboratories that had not reported test results at that moment. Shortly after the deadline, the available test results were screened for suspect data. A test result was called suspect in case the Huber Elimination Rule (a robust outlier test) found it to be an outlier. The laboratories that produced these suspect data were asked to check the reported test results (no reanalyzes). Additional or corrected test results are used for data analysis and the original test results are placed under 'Remarks' in the result tables in appendix 1. Test results that came in after the deadline were not taken into account in this screening for suspect data and thus these participants were not requested for checks.

3.1 STATISTICS

The protocol followed in the organization of this proficiency test was the one as described for proficiency testing in the report 'iis Interlaboratory Studies: Protocol for the Organization, Statistics and Evaluation' of October 2024 (iis-protocol, version 4.0).

For the statistical evaluation the *unrounded* (when available) figures were used instead of the rounded test results. Test results reported as '<...>' or '>...>' were not used in the statistical evaluation.

First, the normality of the distribution of the various data sets per determination was checked by means of the Lilliefors-test, a variant of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and by the calculation of skewness and kurtosis. Evaluation of the three normality indicators in combination with the visual evaluation of the graphic Kernel density plot, lead to judgement of the normality being either 'unknown', 'OK', 'suspect' or 'not OK'. After removal of outliers, this check was repeated. If a data set does not have a normal distribution, the (results of the) statistical evaluation should be used with due care.

The assigned value is determined by consensus based on the test results of the group of participants after rejection of the statistical outliers and/or suspect data.

According to ISO13528 all (original received or corrected) results per determination were submitted to outlier tests. In the iis procedure for proficiency tests, outliers are detected prior to calculation of the mean, standard deviation and reproducibility. For small data sets, Dixon (up to 20 test results) or Grubbs (up to 40 test results) outlier tests can be used. For larger data sets (above 20 test results) Rosner's outlier test can be used. Outliers are marked by $D(0.01)$ for the Dixon's test, by $G(0.01)$ or $DG(0.01)$ for the Grubbs' test and by $R(0.01)$ for the Rosner's test. Stragglers are marked by $D(0.05)$ for the Dixon's test, by $G(0.05)$ or $DG(0.05)$ for the Grubbs' test and by $R(0.05)$ for the Rosner's test. Both outliers and stragglers were not included in the calculations of averages and standard deviations.

For each assigned value the uncertainty was determined in accordance with ISO13528. Subsequently the calculated uncertainty was evaluated against the respective requirement based on the target reproducibility in accordance with ISO13528. In this PT, the criterion of ISO13528, paragraph 9.2.1. was met for all evaluated tests, therefore, the uncertainty of all assigned values may be negligible and need not be included in the PT report.

Finally, the reproducibilities were calculated from the standard deviations by multiplying them with a factor of 2.8.

3.2 GRAPHICS

In order to visualize the data against the reproducibilities from literature, Gauss plots were made, using the sorted data for one determination (see appendix 1). On the Y-axis the reported test results are plotted. The corresponding laboratory numbers are on the X-axis. The straight horizontal line presents the consensus value (a trimmed mean). The four striped lines, parallel to the consensus value line, are the +3s, +2s, -2s and -3s target reproducibility limits of the selected reference test method. Outliers and other data, which were excluded from the calculations, are represented as a cross. Accepted data are represented as a triangle.

Furthermore, Kernel Density Graphs were made. This is a method for producing a smooth density approximation to a set of data that avoids some problems associated with histograms. Also, a normal Gauss curve (dotted line) was projected over the Kernel Density Graph (smooth line) for reference. The Gauss curve is calculated from the consensus value and the corresponding standard deviation.

3.3 Z-SCORES

To evaluate the performance of the participating laboratories the z-scores were calculated. As it was decided to evaluate the performance of the participants in this proficiency test (PT) against the literature requirements (derived from e.g. ISO or ASTM test methods), the z-scores were calculated using a target standard deviation. This results in an evaluation independent of the variation in this interlaboratory study.

The target standard deviation was calculated from the literature reproducibility by division with 2.8. In case no literature reproducibility was available, other target values were used, like Horwitz or an estimated reproducibility based on former iis proficiency tests.

When a laboratory did use a test method with a reproducibility that is significantly different from the reproducibility of the reference test method used in this report, it is strongly advised to recalculate the z-score, while using the reproducibility of the actual test method used, this in order to evaluate whether the reported test result is fit-for-use.

The z-scores were calculated according to:

$$z_{(\text{target})} = (\text{test result} - \text{average of PT}) / \text{target standard deviation}$$

The $z_{(\text{target})}$ scores are listed in the test result tables in appendix 1.

Absolute values for $z < 2$ are very common and absolute values for $z > 3$ are very rare. Therefore, the usual interpretation of z-scores is as follows:

$ z < 1$	good
$1 < z < 2$	satisfactory
$2 < z < 3$	questionable
$3 < z $	unsatisfactory

End of preview of this report, requests to obtain the full report can be sent to nl.iis@sgs.com

Preview

Address: Malledijk 18, P.O. Box 200, 3200 AE Spijkenisse, The Netherlands
Telephone number: +31 (0)88 214 45 41
Email address: nl.iis@sgs.com
Website: www.iisnl.com

Institute for Interlaboratory Studies is a full member of SGS Nederland B.V. and registered at the Chamber of Commerce under number: 24226722. Unless otherwise agreed, all orders are executed in accordance with the SGS general conditions.